## भारत सरकार /Government of India खान मंत्रालय/ Ministry of Mines भारतीय खान ब्यूरो/ Indian Bureau of Mines

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय/ Office of the Regional Controller of Mines

Phone No.: (0832) - 2741757 Opp. R.T.O.'s Office, Fax No. : (0832) -2741758 P.O. FATORDA - 403602,

E-Mail : <u>ro.goa@ibm.gov.in</u> Margao - GOA

KNT/BGM/LST-29/GOA 38KAR02037 Dated: 10/08/2018

To, Shri.I.L.ITTANNAVAR (Mine Owner), Yadwad Limestone & Dolomite Mine, Mudhol Road, Yadwad, Gokak Taluk, Belgaum District, Karnataka – 591136.

Ref: 1) Violation Lr. No. KNT/BGM/LST-29/GOA dated 01/01/2018

2) Your Reply Lr.No.Nil dated 21/05/2018

Sub: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 2017 in respect of Yadwad Limestone & Dolomite Mine (ML-2670) over an extent of 3.12 Hectares situated in Yadwad Village, Gokak Taluk, Belgaum District of Karnataka State.

Sir,

Undersigned inspected your above mine on 17.12.2017 in presence of the mine officials Shri.I.L.Ittannavar, Lessee and Violation letter of even number KNT/BGM/LST-29/GOA dated 01/01/2018 was issued for below mentioned rules of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 2017.

	<u> </u>
11 (1)	<ul> <li>Mining operations in the lease area are not carried out as per approved Scheme of mining, following deviation are observed during inspection,</li> <li>a) It was a proposed in Para 4.0 &amp; Plate-6 to drill 2 Boreholes during the year 2013-2014 in the lease area, against which no exploration work has been taken up so far.</li> <li>b) It is proposed in Page-12 to keep all the benches of height and working for 3m only, whereas in the field it is observed to be working was done more than proposed depth.</li> <li>c) It was proposed in Para 4.0 &amp; Plate-6, to produce Limestone upto Co-ordinates 180E for this plan period from 2013-2014 to 2017-2018. But, on the day of inspection, it was observed, that the operation were carried out in unproposed area.</li> </ul>
11 (4)	The Review of Mining Plan has not been submitted for approval at least one hundred and eighty days before the expiry of the five years period for which it was approved on the last occasion, for mining operations for a period of five subsequent years. The review of mining plan from 2018-19 to 2022-23 has also become due on 30 <sup>th</sup> September 2017.
26(2)	A yearly report before 1 <sup>st</sup> July of every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilitation work carried out as envisaged in the approved progressive mine closure plan has not been submitted for two years 2015-2016 & 2016-2017.
27 (1)	A financial assurance shall be furnished by the holder of the mining lease, for due and proper implementation of the progressive mine closure plan contained in the mining plan or the final mine closure plan, as the case may be, which shall be an amount of three lakh rupees for Category 'A' mines and two lakh rupees for Category 'B' mines, per hectare of the mining lease area put to use for mining and allied activities:

	Provided that the minimum amount of financial assurance to be furnished under sub-rule (1),
	shall be ten lakh rupees for Category 'A' mines and five lakh rupees for Category 'B' mines:
27 (2)	where financial assurance has already been furnished before the commencement of these rules,
	an amount equal to the difference between the financial assurance due as on the date of
	notification of these rules and the financial assurance already furnished, shall be furnished to the
	authorised officer, as the case may be within a period of ninety days from the date of notification
	of these rules
33	The plans & sections prepared under rule 33 for the year 2016-2017 have not been submitted on
	or before 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2017.
55(1)(3)(iv)	The mine is being operated without employing the part time or full time Mining Geologist or
	Mining Engineer required under the provision this rule.
67	Obligation to supply other information
	• A Self-Appraisal Report to be submitted by owner of the mine under Rule 67 of MCDR,
	2017 stating extent of implementation of Approved Mining Plan/ Scheme of Mining
	during the year 2015 - 2016 & 2016 - 2017 along with supporting plans & sections
	representative photographs in hard as well as soft copy.

- 2. Your reply vide letter No.Nil dated 21.05.2018 received in this office on 21.05.2018 has been considered. After examination it has been seen that, you have complied with Rule 11(1), 11(4), 27(1) & 27(2) of MCDR 2017. However, it has been found that even after lapse of **45 days** from the issue of violation letter, the violations for the Rule 26(2), 33, 55(1)(3)(iv) & 67 of MCDR 2017, remain to be continued.
- 3. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violation constitutes an offence, punishable under **Rule 62** of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 2017.
- 4. The Mining operations can be suspended under Rule 11(2), if compliance of Rule 26(2), 33, 55(1)(3)(iv) & 67 are not found satisfactory.
- 5. You are therefore, directed to show cause within a period of **thirty** (30) **days** as to why you should not be prosecuted for the above offence and/or why mining operations shall not be suspended as per provision of Rule 11(2) of MCDR, 2017.
- 6. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard.

Yours faithfully,

(G.S.Kannan)
Junior Mining Geologist

Copy forwarded for information to: -

- 1. The Controller of Mines (SZ), Indian Bureau of Mines, Bangalore, Karnataka.
- 2. The Director, DMG, 49, Khanji Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bangalore- 560 001, Karnataka.
- 3. Mine File

(G.S.Kannan)
Junior Mining Geologist